

# UC Berkeley Summer Undergraduate Research Program 2015

## July 1 Lecture

We are going to introduce some of the basic structure of the **general linear group**  $GL(V)$ , where  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. (*And properties of the symplectic group  $Sp(V)$ , if there's time.*)

References for this material can be found:

1. Goodman-Wallach 'Symmetries, Representations, Invariants' ('freely' available online)
2. Any book on linear algebraic groups eg. Humphreys 'Linear Algebraic Groups'; Springer 'Linear Algebraic Groups'; Borel 'Linear Algebraic Groups' (2nd Edition) (*these are in increasing order of difficulty, in my opinion.*)
3. Online notes by: Dave Anderson 'Linear Algebraic Groups: a crash course'; Kleschev 'Lectures on Algebraic Groups'; Szamuely 'Lectures on Algebraic Groups'... lots of references.

Be warned: you can spend a whole semester/year studying the structure of algebraic groups. We will see the main ideas illuminated for  $GL(V)$  (and  $Sp(V)$ ).

**Basic structure results:** Fix a basis of  $V$ ; this gives  $GL(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Inside  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  there are some special (and well-known) subgroups:

$$T = \{\text{diagonal}\} \subset B = \{\text{upper-triangular}\} \subset GL_n.$$

We will call  $T$  the **standard maximal torus** and  $B$  the **standard (upper) Borel**.

We can characterise these subgroups in an intrinsic manner:

- first, observe that all of these subgroups are closed subgroups (in the Zariski topology); hence, they are subvarieties of the (affine) algebraic variety  $GL_n$ .
- $T$  is commutative and consists of diagonalisable elements; it is maximal with respect to this property. Indeed, if  $S \supset T$  and  $S$  is commutative and contains diagonalisable elements then we can find a simultaneous eigenbasis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , containing  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Hence, this eigenbasis must be equal to the standard basis and  $S = T$ .
- $B$  admits a simultaneous eigenvector, namely  $e_1$ ; or, we can say that  $B$  fixes a point (=line) in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ .  $B$  also has the property that it is **solvable**: the descending chain terminates in  $\{e\}$

$$B = B_0 \supset B_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (B_0, B_0) \supset B_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (B_1, B_1) \supset \dots \supset B_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (B_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) \supset \dots$$

where  $(H, H) = \{ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \mid g, h \in H\}$  is the commutator of  $H$ . This is an interesting (but tedious) exercise.

We can now define certain types of subgroups in  $GL_n$  (generalising the above fixed subgroups):

- a **maximal torus**  $S \subset GL_n$  is a commutative, connected, closed subgroup containing diagonalisable elements, that is contained in no other such group in  $GL_n$ ,
- a **Borel subgroup**  $B' \subset GL_n$  is a maximal solvable, connected, closed subgroup,

- a **parabolic subgroup**  $P \subset GL_n$  is a connected, closed subgroup containing some Borel subgroup  $B'$ ; a parabolic containing the standard Borel is called a **standard parabolic**.
- a **unipotent subgroup**  $U \subset GL_n$  is a closed subgroup containing **unipotent elements**: these are elements  $u \in GL_n$  that have characteristic polynomial  $\chi(t) = \pm(t-1)^n$ ,
- the **Weyl group** of  $GL_n$  is defined to be  $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_G(T)/T$ : you can check that this is isomorphic to  $S_n$ .

Here are some basic (but nontrivial!) facts:

1. All Borel subgroups are conjugate.
2.  $N_G(B) = B$ , for any Borel  $B$ ;  $N_G(P) = P$ , for any parabolic  $P$ .
3. The coset space  $G/H$  can be given the structure of a projective variety (so that the natural quotient map  $G \rightarrow G/H$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties) if and only if  $H$  is parabolic.
4. (**Lie-Kolchin**) Any Borel subgroup fixes a unique flag  $V_\bullet$ .
5. (**Bruhat decomposition**)  $GL_n = \bigsqcup_{w \in S_n} U_- wB$ ,
6. All maximal tori are conjugate (so they have the same dimension).
7. The union of all maximal tori is dense in  $GL_n$ ; ie, the set of all diagonalisable elements in  $GL_n$  is dense.
8. Let  $B$  be a Borel. Then,  $B$  admits a unique maximal normal unipotent subgroup (called the **unipotent radical of  $B$** ; the quotient  $B/U$  is isomorphic to some maximal torus in  $B$ . Hence, we have  $B \cong T \times U$  as a variety (but a semidirect product of groups).
9. Any standard parabolic subgroup  $P \subset GL_n$  is of the form

$$P = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} *d_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & *d_2 & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & *d_r \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

where  $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_r = n$  and  $*d_j$  represents an invertible  $d_j \times d_j$  block.

By (1), (2), (3) we see that  $GL_n/B$  is a projective variety, called **the flag variety of  $GL_n$** , and

$$GL_n/B = \{\text{Borel subgroups of } GL_n\} \leftrightarrow Fl_n = \{\text{flags in } \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

Moreover, (5) tells us that there are finitely  $U_-$  orbits on the flag variety, indexed by elements of the symmetric group. This is precisely the cell decomposition we saw last week.

**Some representation theory:** We are now going to introduce some of the representation theory of  $GL_n$ ; a good reference is Goodman-Wallach.

A **(rational) representation of  $GL_n$**  is a morphism of algebraic varieties  $\rho : GL_n \rightarrow GL(W)$ , with  $W$  a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, that is also a group homomorphism. If we fix a basis of  $W$  then this becomes a map

$$\rho : GL_n \rightarrow GL_m ; g \mapsto \rho(g) = [\rho_{ij}(g)],$$

where the **matrix coefficients**  $\rho_{ij}(g)$  are elements in the coordinate ring of  $GL_n$ ; hence, they are polynomials in  $x_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , and  $\det^{-1}$ . We will also sometimes call  $W$  a representation of  $GL_n$  when the map  $\rho$  is understood; and simply write  $g \cdot v$ , by abuse of notation.

1. The **defining representation** is the map  $\rho = \text{id} : GL_n \rightarrow GL_n$ .
2. The **determinant representation** is the representation  $\det : GL_n \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$ .
3. If  $(V, \rho_1), (W, \rho_2)$  are representations then  $(V \oplus W, \rho)$  is a representation, where  $\rho(g)(v, w) = (\rho_1(g)v, \rho_2(g)w)$ .
4. If  $W$  is a representation then so is  $\bigwedge^k W$ , where  $g \cdot (w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k) = (g \cdot w_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (g \cdot w_k)$ , and we extend linearly.
5. If  $W$  is a representation then so is  $W^*$ : for  $\alpha \in W^*$  we define  $g \cdot \alpha$  to be the linear function

$$g \cdot \alpha : w \mapsto \alpha(g^{-1} \cdot w).$$

Let  $W$  be a representation of  $GL_n$ .

- a subspace  $U \subset W$  that is  $GL_n$ -invariant is called a **subrepresentation**.
- $W$  is **irreducible** if the only subrepresentations of  $W$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $W$ .
- a **morphism of representations**  $W, W'$  is a linear map  $T : W \rightarrow W'$  such that  $T(gw) = gT(w)$ , for every  $g \in GL_n, w \in W$ . A morphism is an **isomorphism** if  $T$  is an isomorphism.

**Complete irreducibility:** let  $W$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $GL_n$ . Then, there exist irreducible subrepresentations  $W_1, \dots, W_r$  (not necessarily distinct, nor unique) such that  $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$ .

Complete reducibility means that in order to understand the (finite dimensional) representations of  $GL_n$  we need only determine all of the irreducible representations.

It can be checked that the representations  $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$  are irreducible representations, for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . (Do an example) Note that a basis for  $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$  is given by

$$\{e_J \mid J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |J| = k\}.$$

Observe that  $\bigwedge^n \mathbb{C}^n$  is just the determinant representation. In fact, the determinant representation is what constitutes (essentially) the only difference between the representation theory of  $GL(V)$  and  $SL(V)$ .

**Highest weight theory and roots:** Fix a maximal torus  $T$  in  $GL_n$  - we may as well assume that this is the standard torus, since all maximal tori are conjugate. The **group of characters of  $T$**  (sometimes called the **weight lattice**) is the set of group homomorphisms

$$X^*(T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\text{alg. gp}}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times),$$

that are also morphisms of algebraic varieties. We have already seen that  $X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ : in fact, we observe that the projections  $x_{jj} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  provide a basis for the free abelian group  $X^*(T)$ . We will denote these characters  $\chi_j$ .

**Fact:** for any representation  $(W, \rho)$ , every element  $\rho(t) \in \text{GL}(W)$ ,  $t \in T$ , is diagonalisable. Hence, since  $\rho(T) \subset \text{GL}(W)$  is a commutative subgroup consisting of diagonalisable elements, we can find a simultaneous eigenbasis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  of  $W$ . This means that

$$t \cdot w_i = \alpha_i(t)w_i,$$

where  $\alpha_i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  takes  $t \in T$  to the eigenvalue  $\alpha_i(t)$  of the linear operator  $\rho(t)$  associated with the eigenvector  $w_i$ . Furthermore, since

$$t \cdot (t' \cdot w_i) = (tt') \cdot w_i,$$

we have  $\alpha_i \in X^*(T)$ . The set  $\{\alpha_i\} \subset X^*(T)$  is called **the set of weights of  $W$** .

Examples.