

MARCH 16 LECTURE

TEXTBOOK REFERENCE:

- Vector Calculus, Colley, 4th Edition: §2.2

LIMITS & CONTINUITY

LEARNING OBJECTIVES:

- Understand the rigorous definition of a limit of a function of several variables.

- Learn the basic properties of limits.
- Understand the definition of continuity for a function of several variables.

KEYWORDS: limit, continuity

Rigorous definition of limit

Let $\mathbf{f} : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function. We write $\lim_{\underline{x} \to \underline{a}} \mathbf{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{L}$ if, given any $\epsilon > 0$, you can find $\delta > 0$ such that

if $\underline{x} \in X$ and $0 < |\underline{x} - \underline{a}| < \delta$ then $|\mathbf{f}(\underline{x}) - \underline{L}| < \epsilon$

We call \underline{L} the **limit of f as** \underline{x} **tends to** \underline{a} .

Example:

1. We saw last lecture that, if \mathbf{f} is the function

$$\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3, \ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y, 2y)$$

then $\lim_{\underline{x}\to(1,1)} \mathbf{f}(x,y) = (1,1,2)$ using the rigorous definition.

2. Define the function

$$p_x: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} , \ (x, y) \mapsto x$$

If $\underline{a} = (a, b)$ we claim (unsurprisingly) that $\lim_{\underline{x} \to \underline{a}} p_x(x, y) = a$: indeed, suppose we are given $\epsilon > 0$. Take $\delta = \epsilon$. Then, for any $\underline{x} = (x, y)$ satisfying

$$0<|\underline{x}-\underline{a}|=\sqrt{(x-a)^2+(y-b)^2}<\delta$$

we find

$$|p_x(x,y) - a| = |x - a| < \delta = \epsilon.$$

Here we use that, when $x \neq a$,

$$\sqrt{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2} = |x-a| \sqrt{1 + \frac{(y-b)^2}{(x-a)^2}} \ge |x-a|$$

Similarly, we could define p_y and p_z (or, more generally p_{x_i}) and obtain analogous results. (Exercise: formulate and prove these results).

Remark: You will have seen a similar definition for the limit of a single variable function in Calculus I.

Determining limits of several variable functions

In general, checking the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition gets very messy very quickly. **Observation:** Let $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where n = 2, 3. If $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $|\underline{x} - \underline{a}| < \delta$ then \underline{x} lies inside the disc/sphere of radius δ , centred at \underline{a} .



Therefore, the statement $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} \mathbf{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{L}$ means that, as \underline{x} moves towards \underline{a} , $\mathbf{f}(\underline{x})$ moves towards \underline{L} , irrespective of the path \underline{x} takes to get close to \underline{a} .

Example:

1. Consider the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\} \to \mathbb{R}, \ (x,y) \mapsto \frac{2x^2 + y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$

This function is not defined at (0,0) - we can't evaluate the quantity $\frac{0}{0}$. However, we could still ask whether $\lim_{x\to(0,0)} \mathbf{f}(\underline{x})$ exists.

If this limit did exist then it will be the same no matter how we approach (0, 0). For example, if we approach (0, 0) along the x-axis, where y = 0, then

$$\mathbf{f}(x,0) = \frac{2x^2 + 0}{x^2 + 0} = 2, \quad x \neq 0$$

This means that the function **f** is constant along the x-axis. Similarly, if we approach 0 along the y-axis, where x = 0, then

$$\mathbf{f}(0,y) = \frac{0+y^2}{0+y^2} = 1 \quad y \neq 0$$

We see that approaching (0,0) from two different directions gives rise to two distinct values for the limit. Therefore, $\lim_{\underline{x}\to(0,0)} \mathbf{f}(\underline{x})$ does not exist.

2. We can also use different coordinate systems: consider the function

$$g: \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\} \to \mathbb{R} \ , \ (x,y) \mapsto \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$

In polar coordinates we have

$$g(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) = \frac{r^2\cos^2\theta - r^2\sin^2\theta}{r^2} = \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta = \cos 2\theta$$

Evaluating $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} g(x,y)$ is the same as understanding the behaviour of $g(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$ as $r\to 0$: in particular, there is no restriction on θ . Moreover, if this limit exists then it should be *independent of* θ . However, we see that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} g(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = \lim_{r \to 0} \cos 2\theta = \cos 2\theta$$

so that the limit can't possibly exist.

Exercise: how does this approach using polar coordinates relate to determining the limit by approaching (0,0) along the x and y axes?

3. We can also use different coordiante systems to **determine limits**: consider the function

$$h: \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\} \to \mathbb{R}, \ (x,y) \mapsto \frac{x^4 + 3y^3}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Then, in polar coordinates

$$h(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) = r^2(\cos^4\theta + 3r\sin^5\theta)$$

Then, we certainly have

$$-3r \le \cos^4 \theta + 3r \sin^5 \theta \le 1 + 3r$$
$$\implies -3r^3 \le h(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) \le r^2 + 3r^3$$

Thus, by the Squeeze Theorem,

=

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} h(x,y) = \lim_{r\to 0} h(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) = 0$$

Properties of Limits

Determining the limit of a function of several variables using the rigorous definition is difficult. This is why Theorems are helpful: they are tools that make life easier for us. An intuitively obvious, but very important, result is the following:

Theorem: (Uniqueness of Limits) If a limit exists then it is unique.

We also have the following:

Algebraic Properties of Limits

- 1. If $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} \mathbf{f}(x) = \underline{L}$ and $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} \mathbf{g}(x) = \underline{M}$ then $\lim_{x\to a} (\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})(x) = \underline{L} + \underline{M}$.
- 2. If $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} \mathbf{f}(x) = \underline{L}$ then $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} (k\mathbf{f})(x) = k\underline{L}$, for any scalar k.
- 3. If f, g are scalar-valued functions, $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} g(x) = M$, with $M \neq 0$, then $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)(x) = \frac{L}{M}$.

Example: A polynomial function in x, y is a function of the form (e.g.)

$$f(x,y) = x^2y^3 - 10y^3 + x^3y^2 + x + 2$$

If $\underline{a} = (a, b)$ then for a polynomial function f(x, y)

$$\lim_{\underline{x} \to \underline{a}} f(x, y) = f(a, b)$$

To see this for the example above, note the equality of functions (where p_x , p_y are defined above)

$$f(x,y) = ((p_x)^2 (p_y)^3 - 10(p_y)^3 + (p_x)^3 (p_y)^2 + p_x + 2)(x,y)$$
(*)

Since we've shown that $\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} p_x(x,y) = a$, and it's not too hard to show that $\lim_{x\to a} p_y(x,y) = b$, we can use the Algebraic Properties and (*) to obtain

$$\lim_{\underline{x}\to\underline{a}} f(x,y) = a^2 b^3 - 10b^3 + a^3 b^2 + a + 2 = f(a,b)$$

There's an analogous notion of a **polynomial function** $f(\underline{x})$ in *n* variables $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots x_n)$.

Continuity

Let $\mathbf{f} : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function of several variables, $\underline{a} \in X$. We say that \mathbf{f} is continuous at \underline{a} if

$$\lim_{\underline{x} \to \underline{a}} \mathbf{f}(\underline{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\underline{a})$$

We say that **f** is continuous if it is continuous at \underline{a} , for every $\underline{a} \in X$.

Remark: 1. A scalar-valued function $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if its graph $\Gamma(f)$ admits no jumps/breaks/gaps.

2. The Algebraic Properties of Limits translate into Algebraic Properties of Continuous Functions (see p.111 of Colley).

Example:

- 1. A polynomial function $f(\underline{x})$ in *n* variables is continuous.
- 2. The function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \begin{cases} \frac{2x^2 + y^2}{x^2 + y^2}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

is not continuous at (0,0): $\lim_{x\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$ does not even exist.

3. The function

$$g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} , \begin{cases} \frac{x^4 + 3y^5}{x^2 + y^2}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

is continuous at (x, y) = (0, 0): we've shown above that $\lim_{\underline{x}\to(0,0)} g(x, y) = 0 = g(0, 0)$. In fact, this function is continuous (i.e. continuous at all $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$).

The following result reduces the analysis of vector-valued continuous functions to that of scalar-valued continuous functions.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{f}: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a functions of several variables, $\mathbf{f}(\underline{x}) = (f_1(\underline{x}), \dots, f_m(\underline{x}))$. Then, \mathbf{f} is continuous at $\underline{a} \in X$ if and only if the component functions $f_1(\underline{x}), \dots, f_m(\underline{x})$ are continuous at $\underline{a} \in X$.