



## SEPTEMBER 20 LECTURE

### SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES:

- *Single Variable Calculus*, Stewart, 7th Ed.: Section 11.1.
- *Calculus*, Spivak, 3rd Ed.: Section 22.
- *AP Calculus BC*, Khan Academy: Infinite sequences.

### SEQUENCES, THE TOOLS

#### 1 The Squeeze Theorem

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n$  be a natural number. We define the natural number  $n!$ , said  $n$  factorial, to be the product

$$n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (n-1) \cdot n.$$

By definition, we set  $0! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$ .

**Example 1.2.** We have  $1! = 1$ ,  $2! = 1 \cdot 2 = 2$ ,  $3! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 6$ ,  $4! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 = 24$ .

Consider the sequence  $(a_n)$ , where

$$a_n = \frac{n!}{n^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

#### CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING

1. Write down the first five terms of the sequence  $(a_n)$ .
2. Do you think the sequence is increasing, decreasing, bounded?
3. Do you think the sequence is convergent/divergent? If convergent, what do you expect the limit to be? If divergent, can you explain why?

#### DRAW GRAPH

**SPOT THE PATTERN** Consider the sequence  $(c_n)$ , where  $c_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , and plot its graph above (along with the graph of  $(a_n)$ ).

1. What is the relation between the first five terms of  $(a_n)$  and  $(c_n)$ ?
2. What do you expect to be the relation between the  $n^{\text{th}}$  terms  $a_n$  and  $c_n$ ?
3. Using the sequence  $(c_n)$ , carefully explain, either, why  $(a_n)$  is convergent and what its limit is, or, why  $(a_n)$  is divergent.
4. Let  $(b_n)$  be the sequence  $b_n = 0$ , for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . What is the relation between the  $n^{\text{th}}$  terms of  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ ?

CREATE YOUR OWN THEOREM!

### The Squeeze Theorem

Let  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$   $(c_n)$  be sequences, where  $(b_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  are convergent. Let  $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$  and  $L' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$  satisfy the relation \_\_\_\_\_.

Furthermore, assume that, for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  terms  $a_n, b_n, c_n$ , satisfy the relation \_\_\_\_\_.

Then, the sequence  $(a_n)$  is \_\_\_\_\_ and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n =$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Example 1.3.** 1. Consider the sequence  $(a_n)$ , where  $a_n = \sin(n)/n$ . Define the sequences  $(b_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$ , where

$$b_n = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then,  $(b_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  are convergent and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$$

Moreover, for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$-\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{\sin(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence, by the Squeeze Theorem,  $(a_n)$  is convergent and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

2. We provide a rigorous justification that  $0 \leq \frac{n!}{n^n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ , for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Of course, we have  $\frac{n!}{n^n} \geq 0$ , for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Now, for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\frac{n!}{n^n} = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (n-1) \cdot n}{n \cdot n \cdot n \cdots n} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdots n}{n \cdot n \cdots n} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

because the term in parentheses is  $\leq 1$ .

**Remark 1.4.** We have the following (more general) modification of The Squeeze Theorem, which we will call the **Ultimate Squeeze Theorem**:

Let  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$   $(c_n)$  be sequences, where  $(b_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  are convergent.  
Suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n.$$

Furthermore, assume that, there is some natural number  $n_0 \geq 1$  so that

$$\text{if } n \geq n_0 \text{ then } b_n \leq a_n \leq c_n.$$

Then, the sequence  $(a_n)$  is convergent and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$

**2 The Monotonic+Bounded Theorem** We have seen several results that allow us to deduce when a sequence is convergent (e.g. Limit Laws, Squeeze Theorem). However, we don't yet have any tools to show that a sequence is *divergent* (i.e. *not convergent*).

**Theorem 2.1** (Convergent implies bounded). *Let  $(a_n)$  be a convergent sequence. Then,  $(a_n)$  is bounded.*

*Proof:* Write  $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ . Let  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then, we must be able to find some  $N$  such that<sup>1</sup>

$$n \geq N \implies |a_n - L| < \varepsilon = 1 \implies L - 1 < a_n < L + 1.$$

In particular, for all  $n \geq N$ , we have  $|a_n| < |L| + 1$ .

Write  $K$  for the largest of the numbers  $|a_1|, \dots, |a_N|, |L| + 1$ . Then, for this choice of  $K$  we have  $|a_n| \leq K$ , for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . That is,  $-K \leq a_n \leq K$ , for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , so that  $(a_n)$  is bounded.  $\square$

We will discuss a partial converse<sup>2</sup> to the 'Convergent implies Bounded' result just given. But first:

GET CREATIVE!

Give three examples of sequences  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$  that are bounded but not convergent.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence that is *either* increasing *or* decreasing (or both!). Then, we say that  $(a_n)$  is **monotonic**.

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the collection of symbols  $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$  means 'the distance from  $a_n$  to  $L$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ '. This is equivalent to the pair of inequalities  $L - \varepsilon < a_n < L + \varepsilon$ .

<sup>2</sup>Given a statement 'if  $P$  then  $Q$ ', the **converse** is the statement 'if  $Q$  then  $P$ '. Very important note: in general, the truth of a statement 'if  $P$  then  $Q$ ' does not determine the truth of the statement 'if  $Q$  then  $P$ '. For example, compare the statements 'if you are a *Vermont*er then you are *American*' and 'if you are *American* then you are a *Vermont*er'.

CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING

1. Draw the graphs of three (different) monotonic, bounded sequences  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$ .
2. What common features do the sequences  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$  possess?

CREATE YOUR OWN THEOREM!

**Monotonic+Bounded Theorem**

Let  $(a_n)$  be a monotonic and bounded sequence. Then,  $(a_n)$  is \_\_\_\_\_.

- Remark 2.3.**
1. The Monotonic+Bounded Theorem is a little strange: it tells us that a monotonic, bounded sequence is convergent but does not say how to find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ ! Compare this with the Squeeze Theorem where we not only show that a sequence is convergent but also obtain its limit.
  2. In Problem Set 1 there is a generalisation of the Monotonic+Bounded Theorem: say that a sequence  $(a_n)$  is **eventually monotonic** if there is some  $n_0$  such that the sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  is monotonic. For example, if  $a_n = n^2 - 13n + 30$  then the sequence  $(a_n)$  is eventually monotonic (it is eventually increasing: the sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 7}$  is increasing).

**Example 2.4.** Suppose that  $0 \leq x < 1$ . Consider the sequence  $(a_n)$ , where  $a_n = x^n$ . Then, for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = x^{n+1} - x^n = x^n(x - 1) \leq 0 \quad \implies \quad a_{n+1} \leq a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence,  $(a_n)$  is decreasing. Also,  $(a_n)$  is bounded: for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have  $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$ . Therefore, by the Monotonic+Bounded Theorem the sequence  $(a_n)$  is convergent.