

MARCH 6: MASCHKE'S THEOREM

Convention: Unless otherwise specified, G will always denote a finite group, V a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

SECTION II: MASCHKE'S THEOREM

In this section we will prove one of the fundamental results in the representation theory of finite groups - *Maschke's Theorem*. This theorem states that, for the representation theory of finite groups (defined over \mathbb{C}), irreducibility and indecomposability coincide.

Definition (5.1): Let (V, \langle, \rangle) be an inner product space, $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ a representation of G . We say that ρ is **unitary** if ρ_g is unitary, for every $g \in G$. This means, for every $g \in G$, $u, v \in V$, $\langle \rho_g(u), \rho_g(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$.

Example (5.2):

1. Any degree 1 representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary: here \mathbb{C} is equipped with the standard inner product.
2. The representation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : D_8 &\rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ r &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \\ s &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is unitary. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \rho_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} \right), \rho_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} ia_1 \\ -ia_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} ib_1 \\ -ib_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= ia_1 \overline{(ib_1)} + (-ia_2) \overline{(-ib_2)} \\ &= a_1 \bar{b}_1 + a_2 \bar{b}_2 \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows ρ_s is unitary.

3. The representation

$$\rho : \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{j} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^j$$

is not unitary: we have $\rho_{\bar{1}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since

$$\left\| \rho_{\bar{1}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|^2 = 1 \neq 2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2$$

we conclude that $\rho_{\bar{1}}$ is not unitary.

Proposition (5.3): Let (ρ, V) be a nonzero unitary representation. Then, either ρ is irreducible or decomposable.

Proof: Suppose that ρ is not irreducible. Then, there exists a nonzero proper subrepresentation $W \subseteq V$.

Claim: W^\perp is a subrepresentation.

Indeed, let $g \in G$, $w \in W^\perp$. Then, for any $u \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \rho_g(w) \rangle &= \langle \rho_{g^{-1}}(u), \rho_{g^{-1}}(\rho_g(w)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho_{g^{-1}}(u), w \rangle \\ &= 0, \quad \text{since } \rho_{g^{-1}}(u) \in W \text{ and } w \in W^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\rho_g(w) \in W^\perp$. Moreover, $\rho \simeq \rho|_W \oplus \rho|_{W^\perp}$: we have a G -isomorphism

$$T : W \times W^\perp \rightarrow V, (w, u) \mapsto w + u$$

- T is a linear isomorphism by Homework.
- we have

$$T(\rho|_W \oplus \rho|_{W^\perp}(w, u)) = T(\rho_g(w), \rho_g(u)) = \rho_g(w) + \rho_g(u) = \rho_g(w+u) = \rho_g(T(w, u))$$

Remark (5.3): If $U, W \subseteq V$ are subrepresentations such that $V = U \oplus W$ (internal direct sum) then $\rho \simeq \rho|_U \oplus \rho|_W$

Corollary (5.5): (Maschke's Theorem for Unitary Representations)
Every nonzero unitary representation (ρ, V) is completely reducible.

Proof: Proceed by induction on $k = \dim V$.

($k = 1$): any degree 1 representation is irreducible.

Assume the result for all $1 \leq k < r$. Let $k = \dim V = r$.

- If (ρ, V) is irreducible then we are done.
- Else, by Proposition 5.3, $\rho \simeq \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$. Hence, by induction, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are completely reducible

$$\rho_1 \simeq \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_s, \quad \rho_2 \simeq \psi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_t$$

with each φ_i, ψ_j irreducible. Then,

$$\rho \simeq \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_s \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_t$$