

MARCH 11: G -MORPHISMS; SCHUR'S LEMMA

Convention: Unless otherwise specified, G will always denote a finite group, V a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition (7.1): Let (ρ, V) , (φ, W) be representations of G and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a G -morphism. Then,

1. $\ker T$ is a subrepresentation of V ,
2. $\text{im } T$ is a subrepresentation of W .

Proof:

1. Let $v \in \ker T$, $g \in G$. Then,

$$T(\rho_g(v)) = \varphi_g(T(v)) = \varphi_g(0_W) = 0_W \implies \rho_g(v) \in \ker T.$$

2. Let $w \in \text{im } T$, $g \in G$. Suppose $w = T(v)$. Then,

$$\varphi_g(w) = \varphi_g(T(v)) = T(\rho_g(v)) \in \text{im } T$$

QED

We have the following important consequence of Proposition 7.1:

Lemma (7.2): (Schur's Lemma)

Let (ρ, V) , (φ, W) be irreducible representations of G , $T \in \text{Hom}_G(\rho, \varphi)$. Then, either $T = 0$ or T is invertible.

Moreover,

- If φ, ρ are inequivalent then $\text{Hom}_G(\rho, \varphi) = 0$.
- If $\varphi = \rho$ then $\text{End}_G(\rho) = \text{Hom}_G(\rho, \rho) = \{\lambda \cdot \text{id}_V \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $T \neq 0$. Then,

- $\ker T \neq V$ is a subrepresentation of V , by Proposition 7.1. Hence, $\ker T = \{0_V\}$, since ρ irreducible, and T is injective.
- $\text{im } T \neq \{0_W\}$ is a subrepresentation of W , by Proposition 7.1. Hence, $\text{im } T = W$, since φ is irreducible, and T is surjective.

Therefore, if $T \neq 0$ then T is invertible.

Suppose now that $\rho = \varphi$. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a G -morphism. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an eigenvalue, $v \in \ker(T - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ an associated eigenvector. Hence,

$$E_\lambda = \ker(T - \lambda \text{id}_V) \neq \{0_V\}$$

Moreover, for any $g \in G$,

$$T(\rho_g(v)) = \rho(g)(T(v)) = \rho_g(\lambda v) = \lambda \rho_g(v) \implies \rho_g(v) \in E_\lambda$$

Hence, E_λ is a nonzero subrepresentation and $E_\lambda = V$, since ρ is irreducible. In particular, for any $v \in V$, $T(v) = \lambda v$.

QED

Remark (7.3):

- $\text{Hom}_G(\rho, \varphi) \subseteq \text{Hom}(V, W)$ is a subspace.
- Schur's Lemma implies that $\text{End}_G(\rho) = \text{Hom}_G(\rho, \rho) \subseteq \text{End}(V)$ is a subring. In fact, $\text{End}_G(\rho)$ is, in a natural way, a field.