

1.11 Theorem Suppose S is an ordered set with the least-upper-bound property, $B \subset S$, B is not empty, and B is bounded below. Let L be the set of all lower bounds of B . Then

$$\alpha = \sup L$$

exists in S , and $\alpha = \inf B$.

In particular, $\inf B$ exists in S .

Proof Since B is bounded below, L is not empty. Since L consists of exactly those $y \in S$ which satisfy the inequality $y \leq x$ for every $x \in B$, we see that every $x \in B$ is an upper bound of L . Thus L is bounded above. Our hypothesis about S implies therefore that L has a supremum in S ; call it α .

If $\gamma < \alpha$ then (see Definition 1.8) γ is not an upper bound of L , hence $\gamma \notin B$. It follows that $\alpha \leq x$ for every $x \in B$. Thus $\alpha \in L$.

If $\alpha < \beta$ then $\beta \notin L$, since α is an upper bound of L .

We have shown that $\alpha \in L$ but $\beta \notin L$ if $\beta > \alpha$. In other words, α is a lower bound of B , but β is not if $\beta > \alpha$. This means that $\alpha = \inf B$.

FIELDS

1.12 Definition A *field* is a set F with two operations, called *addition* and *multiplication*, which satisfy the following so-called “field axioms” (A), (M), and (D):

(A) Axioms for addition

- (A1) If $x \in F$ and $y \in F$, then their sum $x + y$ is in F .
- (A2) Addition is commutative: $x + y = y + x$ for all $x, y \in F$.
- (A3) Addition is associative: $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in F$.
- (A4) F contains an element 0 such that $0 + x = x$ for every $x \in F$.
- (A5) To every $x \in F$ corresponds an element $-x \in F$ such that

$$x + (-x) = 0.$$

(M) Axioms for multiplication

- (M1) If $x \in F$ and $y \in F$, then their product xy is in F .
- (M2) Multiplication is commutative: $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in F$.
- (M3) Multiplication is associative: $(xy)z = x(yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in F$.
- (M4) F contains an element $1 \neq 0$ such that $1x = x$ for every $x \in F$.
- (M5) If $x \in F$ and $x \neq 0$ then there exists an element $1/x \in F$ such that

$$x \cdot (1/x) = 1.$$

(D) The distributive law

$$x(y + z) = xy + xz$$

holds for all $x, y, z \in F$.

1.13 Remarks

(a) One usually writes (in any field)

$$x - y, \frac{x}{y}, x + y + z, xyz, x^2, x^3, 2x, 3x, \dots$$

in place of

$$x + (-y), x \cdot \left(\frac{1}{y}\right), (x + y) + z, (xy)z, xx, xxx, x + x, x + x + x, \dots$$

(b) The field axioms clearly hold in Q , the set of all rational numbers, if addition and multiplication have their customary meaning. Thus Q is a field.

(c) Although it is not our purpose to study fields (or any other algebraic structures) in detail, it is worthwhile to prove that some familiar properties of Q are consequences of the field axioms; once we do this, we will not need to do it again for the real numbers and for the complex numbers.

1.14 Proposition *The axioms for addition imply the following statements.*

- (a) *If $x + y = x + z$ then $y = z$.*
- (b) *If $x + y = x$ then $y = 0$.*
- (c) *If $x + y = 0$ then $y = -x$.*
- (d) *$-(-x) = x$.*

Statement (a) is a cancellation law. Note that (b) asserts the uniqueness of the element whose existence is assumed in (A4), and that (c) does the same for (A5).

Proof If $x + y = x + z$, the axioms (A) give

$$\begin{aligned} y &= 0 + y = (-x + x) + y = -x + (x + y) \\ &= -x + (x + z) = (-x + x) + z = 0 + z = z. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a). Take $z = 0$ in (a) to obtain (b). Take $z = -x$ in (a) to obtain (c).

Since $-x + x = 0$, (c) (with $-x$ in place of x) gives (d).

1.15 Proposition *The axioms for multiplication imply the following statements.*

- (a) *If $x \neq 0$ and $xy = xz$ then $y = z$.*
- (b) *If $x \neq 0$ and $xy = x$ then $y = 1$.*
- (c) *If $x \neq 0$ and $xy = 1$ then $y = 1/x$.*
- (d) *If $x \neq 0$ then $1/(1/x) = x$.*

The proof is so similar to that of Proposition 1.14 that we omit it.

1.16 Proposition *The field axioms imply the following statements, for any $x, y, z \in F$.*

- (a) $0x = 0$.
- (b) *If $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ then $xy \neq 0$.*
- (c) $(-x)y = -(xy) = x(-y)$.
- (d) $(-x)(-y) = xy$.

Proof $0x + 0x = (0 + 0)x = 0x$. Hence 1.14(b) implies that $0x = 0$, and (a) holds.

Next, assume $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$, but $xy = 0$. Then (a) gives

$$1 = \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)xy = \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)0 = 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus (b) holds.

The first equality in (c) comes from

$$(-x)y + xy = (-x + x)y = 0y = 0,$$

combined with 1.14(c); the other half of (c) is proved in the same way. Finally,

$$(-x)(-y) = -[x(-y)] = -[-(xy)] = xy$$

by (c) and 1.14(d).

1.17 Definition *An ordered field is a field F which is also an ordered set, such that*

- (i) $x + y < x + z$ if $x, y, z \in F$ and $y < z$,
- (ii) $xy > 0$ if $x \in F, y \in F, x > 0$, and $y > 0$.

If $x > 0$, we call x *positive*; if $x < 0$, x is *negative*.

For example, \mathbb{Q} is an ordered field.

All the familiar rules for working with inequalities apply in every ordered field: Multiplication by positive [negative] quantities preserves [reverses] inequalities, no square is negative, etc. The following proposition lists some of these.

1.18 Proposition *The following statements are true in every ordered field.*

- (a) *If $x > 0$ then $-x < 0$, and vice versa.*
- (b) *If $x > 0$ and $y < z$ then $xy < xz$.*
- (c) *If $x < 0$ and $y < z$ then $xy > xz$.*
- (d) *If $x \neq 0$ then $x^2 > 0$. In particular, $1 > 0$.*
- (e) *If $0 < x < y$ then $0 < 1/y < 1/x$.*

Proof

- (a) If $x > 0$ then $0 = -x + x > -x + 0$, so that $-x < 0$. If $x < 0$ then $0 = -x + x < -x + 0$, so that $-x > 0$. This proves (a).
- (b) Since $z > y$, we have $z - y > y - y = 0$, hence $x(z - y) > 0$, and therefore

$$xz = x(z - y) + xy > 0 + xy = xy.$$

- (c) By (a), (b), and Proposition 1.16(c),

$$- [x(z - y)] = (-x)(z - y) > 0,$$

so that $x(z - y) < 0$, hence $xz < xy$.

- (d) If $x > 0$, part (ii) of Definition 1.17 gives $x^2 > 0$. If $x < 0$, then $-x > 0$, hence $(-x)^2 > 0$. But $x^2 = (-x)^2$, by Proposition 1.16(d). Since $1 = 1^2$, $1 > 0$.

- (e) If $y > 0$ and $v \leq 0$, then $yv \leq 0$. But $y \cdot (1/y) = 1 > 0$. Hence $1/y > 0$. Likewise, $1/x > 0$. If we multiply both sides of the inequality $x < y$ by the positive quantity $(1/x)(1/y)$, we obtain $1/y < 1/x$.

THE REAL FIELD

We now state the *existence theorem* which is the core of this chapter.

1.19 Theorem *There exists an ordered field R which has the least-upper-bound property.*

Moreover, R contains Q as a subfield.

The second statement means that $Q \subset R$ and that the operations of addition and multiplication in R , when applied to members of Q , coincide with the usual operations on rational numbers; also, the positive rational numbers are positive elements of R .

The members of R are called *real numbers*.

The proof of Theorem 1.19 is rather long and a bit tedious and is therefore presented in an Appendix to Chap. 1. The proof actually constructs R from Q .