

♡ **Topics in Abstract Algebra: Valentines Day** ♡
Lecture by Annie, Lanie, and Fernando
Notes by Joshua Schluter

Annic and Lanic's Part

Last Time (1.9):

If L is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 and D is a curve of degree d , then L and D intersect at most d times. Similarly, if C is a nondegenerate conic in \mathbb{P}^2 , then C and D intersect at most $2d$ times.

Corollary (1.10):

Let $\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ be distinct points such that no four points are collinear. There exists at most one conic that goes through all $\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5$.

Proof:

For the sake of contradiction, let $C_1 \neq C_2$ be conics that contain all five points. Thus, $\{\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5\} \subset C_1 \cap C_2$.

Case 1: Both C_1 and C_2 are nondegenerate.

Since both conics are nondegenerate, they are equivalent to $XZ = Y^2$ or $(U, V) \mapsto (U^2, UV, V^2)$ which are degree 2. Thus, by 1.9, they intersect at most $2n = 2(2) = 4$ times but C_1 and C_2 must intersect at least 5. Thus case 1 is impossible.

Case 2: One conic is degenerate and the other is nondegenerate.

WLOG: Assume C_1 is the degenerate conic. C_1 will be either a line or a line pair while C_2 is a nondegenerate conic. By 1.9, C_2 will intersect with each line of C_1 at most 2 times. Thus, C_1 and C_2 intersect at most $2+2 = 4$ times but they must intersect at least 5 times. Thus case 2 is impossible.

Case 3a: Both degenerate, don't share a line.

C_1 and C_2 will either be lines or line pairs. Each line of C_1 will intersect with each line of C_2 at most 1 time. Thus C_1 and C_2 will intersect at most $1+1+1+1 = 4$ times but they must intersect at least 5 times. Thus case 3a is impossible.

Case 3b: Both degenerate, share a line.

Since they share a line, we can write $C_1 = L_0 \cup L_1$ and $C_2 = L_0 \cup L_2$. Thus $\{\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5\} \subset C_1 \cap C_2 = L_0 \cup (L_1 \cap L_2)$. But note that $L_1 \cap L_2$ can only contain 1 point. Thus, the other four points must lie on the line L_0 but they can't because no four points are collinear. Therefore case 3b is impossible.

Since each case is impossible, our assumption that $C_1 \neq C_2$ must have been wrong. ■

Lets define $S_2 = \{\text{Quadratic forms in } \mathbb{R}^3\} = \{3 \text{ by } 3 \text{ symmetric matrices}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^6$.

Lets fix $\heartsuit_0 = (X_0, Y_0, Z_0) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now, we can define $S_2(\heartsuit_0) = \{Q \in S_2 \text{ such that } Q(\heartsuit_0) = 0\}$. For any $Q \in S_2(\heartsuit_0)$ we can write $Q(X_0, Y_0, Z_0) = aX_0^2 + bX_0Y_0 + \dots + fZ_0^2 = 0$ which is a single linear equation with 6 variables: a,b,c,d,e,f. Thus, $\dim S_2(\heartsuit_0) = 5$.

Similarly, lets fix $\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_n \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and define $S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_n) = \{Q \in S_2 \text{ such that } Q(\heartsuit_i) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Instead a single linear equation, this gives us n linear equations with 6 variables each. Thus, $\dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_n) \geq 6 - n$.

Corollary (1.11):

If $n \leq 5$ and no 4 points are collinear then $\dim(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_n) = 6 - n$.

Proof:

We will first show that $\dim(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_n) \leq 6 - n$

Case $n = 5$:

$$\dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5) \leq 1 = 6 - 5 = 6 - n. \text{ (by 1.10)}$$

Case $n \leq 4$:

Pick 5-n points so that no 4 are collinear. This will give us a total of 5 points. Thus $1 = \dim(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \heartsuit_4, \heartsuit_5) \geq \dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_5) - (5 - n)$. Adding $5 - n$ to both sides, we get $6 - n \geq \dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_5)$.

We combine this with our previous result that $6 - n \leq \dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_5)$ to get $6 - n = \dim S_2(\heartsuit_1, \heartsuit_2, \heartsuit_3, \dots, \heartsuit_5)$. ■

Finding Tangent Lines in Affine and Projective Spaces

Affine Lets say we have a curve $f(x, y) = 0$.

We want to find the tangent line at a point $\heartsuit = (x_0, y_0)$ on the curve.

Thankfully, $\nabla f(x_0, y_0) = (\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(x_0, y_0), \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(x_0, y_0))$ will always be tangent to our curve.

Thus, if (x, y) is on the tangent line, then $\nabla f \cdot (x - x_0, y - y_0) = 0$.

So $\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)(x - x_0) + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)(y - y_0) = 0$.

Thus our tangent line can be written as $\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)x + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)y + (\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)x_0 + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)y_0) = 0$.

Projective We can naively convert this equation as follows:

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)X + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)Y + (\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)x_0 + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)y_0)Z = 0$$

The problem is that this equation includes $\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$ and $\frac{\delta f}{\delta y}$ which refer to f , which isn't the projective equation.

So we let $F(X, Y, Z) = Z^d f(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})$ where d is the degree of f .

Now, we calculate that $F_X = Z^d(\frac{\delta f}{\delta x} \frac{1}{Z} + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y} 0) = Z^{d-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})$

Similarly, $F_Y = Z^{d-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})$.

Finally, we calculate that $F_Z = dZ^{d-1} f(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}) + Z^d(-\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})\frac{X}{Z^2} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})\frac{Y}{Z^2})$.

F_Z looks complicated until we plug in a point $\heartsuit = [X_0 : Y_0 : Z_0]$ on our curve.

Since \heartsuit is on our curve, $f(X_0/Z_0, Y_0/Z_0) = 0$. Thus,

$$F_X(\heartsuit) = Z_0^{d-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit)$$

$$F_Y(\heartsuit) = Z_0^{d-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit)$$

$$F_Z = Z_0^{d-1} \left(-\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}(\heartsuit) \frac{X_0}{Z_0} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}(\heartsuit) \frac{Y_0}{Z_0} \right)$$

Substituting these into our naive equation, we get:

$$\frac{1}{Z_0^{d-1}} F_X(\heartsuit)X + \frac{1}{Z_0^{d-1}} F_Y(\heartsuit)Y + \frac{1}{Z_0^{d-1}} F_Z(\heartsuit)Z = 0.$$

Finally, we multiply everything by Z_0^{d-1} to get the following elegant projective tangent line equation: $F_X(\heartsuit)X + F_Y(\heartsuit)Y + F_Z(\heartsuit)Z = 0$.