

## MA357, Spring 2020 — Problem Set 5 Solutions

1. NTG, Exercise 4.7.41.

The hint suggests looking at the equation mod 7. Indeed, if

$$x^2 - 7y^3 + 21z^5 = 3,$$

then we must have  $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ . Squaring  $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$  gives  $0, 1, 4, 2$ . It follows that 3 is not a square mod 7, and therefore no such  $x$  exists, so that the original equation has no solutions.

2. NTG, Exercise 4.7.42. (Experiment first!)

Trying the first four values of  $n$  (on a computer, I hope) shows that they are all divisible by 3.

```
gp > for(n=1,4,print(factor(2^(2^n)+5)))
[3, 2]
[3, 1; 7, 1]
[3, 2; 29, 1]
[3, 1; 7, 1; 3121, 1]
```

So let's work mod 3. Notice that  $2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and therefore  $2^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$2^{2^n} + 5 \equiv 1 + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Since  $2^{2^n} + 5 \geq 5 > 3$ , it follows that  $2^{2^n} + 5$  is composite.

I found it hard to guess which modulus to work with just from looking at the formula.

3. Do any numbers satisfy the equation  $\varphi(n) = 2n$ ?

No, because  $\varphi(n)$  counts how many of the numbers between 1 and  $n$  are relatively prime to  $n$ , and there are certainly no more than  $n$  of them!

4. Do any numbers satisfy the equation  $\varphi(n) = n/2$ ?

If  $n$  is odd, it is clearly not possible, since  $n/2$  is not an integer. It's easy to see that any power of two does satisfy the equation, since  $\gcd(n, 2^\alpha) = 1$  if and only if  $n$  is odd.

For the general case, write  $n = 2^\alpha m$  with  $m$  odd and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $m$  is one of the  $n/2$  odd numbers that are less than  $n$ , and therefore  $\varphi(n) < n/2$ . So the upshot is that  $\varphi(n) = n/2$  if and only if  $n = 2^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

Yes, one can also do this using more powerful results (multiplicativity, or even the formula for  $\varphi(n)$  in terms of the factorization of  $n$ .)

5. NTG, Exercise 5.6.21.

If you read the text, you know that  $a$  is a zero divisor in  $\mathbb{Z}/35\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\gcd(a, 35) > 1$ . So the zero divisors in  $\mathbb{Z}/35\mathbb{Z}$  are the classes of

$$5, 7, 10, 14, 15, 20, 21, 25, 28, 30.$$

The units are all the others. The pairs  $(a, a^{-1})$  are

$$(1, 1), (2, 18), (3, 12), (4, 9), (6, 6), (8, 22), (11, 16), (13, 27) \\ (17, 33), (19, 24), (23, 32), (26, 31), (29, 29), (34, 34).$$

Notice that we have four cases of  $a = a^{-1}$ .

For  $\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$ , it's easier: there are no zero divisors, and every nonzero element is a unit. The  $(a, a^{-1})$  pairs are

$$(1, 1), (2, 6), (3, 4), (5, 9), (7, 8), (10, 10).$$

Since 11 is prime  $a = a^{-1}$  can only happen if  $a = \pm 1$ .

6. In the previous assignment you showed that if  $n > 4$  is not prime then  $(n-1)! \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . This problem shows what happens when  $n$  is prime.

Let  $p$  be a prime. Use the fact that every element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  has an inverse  $\pmod{p}$  to show that

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

This is called *Wilson's Theorem*.

The first thing we need to show is that the only numbers that are their own inverses mod  $p$  are 1 and  $-1$ . In fact, if  $x$  is its own inverse, then  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , which means that  $p \mid (x^2 - 1)$ , and so that  $p$  divides the product  $(x-1)(x+1)$ . But if a prime divides a product it must divide one of the factors, so either  $p \mid (x-1)$  or  $p \mid (x+1)$ . The first of these says  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , and the second says  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . So only 1 and  $-1$  are their own inverses.

Once that has been established, we see that we can pair up all the numbers 2, 3, 4, ...,  $(p-2)$  with their inverses. Since a number times its inverse is congruent to 1  $\pmod{p}$ , the product of this part of the factorial is congruent to 1  $\pmod{p}$ . Multiplying by 1 and by  $(p-1)$  gives  $(p-1)$ , i.e., gives  $-1 \pmod{p}$ .

7. Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $a$  be an integer such that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . In the last problem set you showed that there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Of course, then we also have  $a^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , so there will be many such exponents.

Let  $e \geq 1$  be the *smallest* exponent such that  $a^e \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . This is called the *order* of  $a \pmod{m}$ . Show that

a. If  $n$  is a multiple of  $e$ , then  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

$$\text{If } n = ed, \text{ then } a^n = a^{ed} = (a^e)^d \equiv 1^d = 1 \pmod{p}.$$

- b. Conversely, if  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $e$  is a divisor of  $n$ . (Consider the remainder when we divide  $n$  by  $e$ .)

We know  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $a^e \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Write  $n = eq + r$  with  $0 \leq r < e$ . We want to prove  $r = 0$ .

Since  $a^e \equiv 1$ , we get  $a^{eq} \equiv 1$ . Multiplying both sides by  $a^r$  gives  $a^{eq+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{p}$ . Since  $eq + r = n$  we get  $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . But  $e$  is the smallest positive exponent with this property and  $0 \leq r < e$ . So  $r = 0$  and  $e$  is a divisor of  $n$ .

This really has nothing to do with modular arithmetic per se. Rather, it is about the orders of elements of any finite group.